Sand–rubber mixtures (large rubber chips)
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Abstract: Mixtures of small rigid sand particles $D_s$ and large soft rubber particles $D_r$ are prepared at different volume fractions and tested to investigate their small-strain and zero-lateral strain responses ($D_r/D_s \approx 10$). Both data sets are simultaneously gathered in an oedometer cell instrumented with bender elements. Data are analyzed in the context of mixture theory and with the aid of numerical simulations. Results show that the sand skeleton controls the mixture response when the volume fraction of rubber particles is $V_{rubber} \leq 0.3$, while the rubber skeleton prevails at $V_{rubber} \geq 0.6$. The large size and incompressibility of rubber particles provides high stress-induced stiffness in the sand skeleton near the equatorial plane of rubber particles. The corresponding increase in local small-strain shear modulus $G_{max}$ results in earlier wave arrivals in mixtures with $V_{rubber} \leq 0.3$ than in pure sand, while the quasi-static constrained modulus is highest in pure sand. The constrained modulus and shear wave velocity are power functions of the applied effective stress in all mixtures. Results from this study ($D_r/D_s \approx 10$) and from a previous complementary study with small rubber particles ($D_r/D_s = 0.25$) show that the development of internal fabric, particle level processes, and the associated macroscale response of sand–rubber mixtures depend on the relative size between the soft rubber chips and the stiff sand particles $D_r/D_s$ and their volume fractions.
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Résumé : Des mélanges de petites particules rigides de sable $D_s$ et de larges particules molles de caoutchouc $D_r$ ont été préparés à différentes fractions de volume et testés pour étudier leur réaction à de petites contraintes et à déformation latérale nulle ($D_r/D_s \approx 100$). Les deux ensembles de données ont été simultanément regroupées dans une cellule oedométrique instrumentée avec des languettes piezocéramiques. Les données ont été analysées dans le contexte de la théorie du mélange et avec l’aide de simulations numériques. Les résultats montrent que le squelette de sable contrôle la réaction du mélange lorsque la fraction du volume de particules de caoutchouc est $V_{rubber} \leq 0.3$, alors que le squelette de caoutchouc domine à $V_{rubber} \geq 0.6$. La grande dimension et l’incompressibilité des particules de caoutchouc fournit une forte rigidité induite par la contrainte dans le squelette de sable près du plan équatorial des particules de caoutchouc. L’accroissement correspondant du $G_{max}$ local résulte en des arrivées plus hâtives des ondes dans les mélanges avec $V_{rubber} \leq 0.3$ que dans le sable pur, alors que le module quasi-statique sous contrainte est le plus élevé dans le sable pur. Le module sous contrainte et la vitesse des ondes de cisaillement sont des fonctions de puissance de la contrainte effective appliquée dans tous les mélanges. Les résultats de cette étude ($D_r/D_s \approx 10$) et à partir d’une étude complémentaire antérieure avec de petites particules de caoutchouc ($D_r/D_s = 0.25$) montrent que le développement d’une fabrique interne, que les processus de niveau de particules et que la réaction associée à l’échelle macro de mélanges sable-caoutchouc dépendent de la dimension relative entre les fragments mous de caoutchouc et les particules rigides de sable $D_r/D_s$ et leurs fractions de volume.

Mots-clés : pneu recyclé, propagation d’ondes, module sous contrainte, vitesse d’onde de cisaillement, mélanges de grains.

[Intaduit par la Rédaction]

Introduction

Granular mixtures can be engineered to exhibit exceptional properties. Early studies of sand–rubber mixtures were prompted by the large number of discarded tires (Edil and Bosscher 1994; Tatlisoz et al. 1997; Rubber Manufacturers Association 2006). Eventually, many construction applications of sand–rubber mixtures, or rubber chips alone, were explored, including highway embankments (Bosscher et al. 1997; Nightingale and Green 1997; Heimdahl and Druscher 1999), lightweight fill (Ahmed and Lovell 1993; Masad et al. 1996; Lee et al. 1999), backfill for retaining walls (Humphrey and Manion 1992; Humphrey et al. 1993; Garga and O’Shaughnessy 2000), and subsurface drainage systems (Nagasaka et al. 1996).

In general, sand–rubber mixtures exhibit low void ratio, high compressibility, low mass density, high friction angle, and high attenuation (Humphrey et al. 1993; Edil and Bosscher 1994; Foose et al. 1996; Gebhardt 1997; Wu et al. 1997; Feng and Sutter 2000; Zheng-Yi and Sutter 2000; Yanagida et al. 2002; Yang et al. 2002; Zornberg et al. 2004; Pamukcu and Akbulut 2006).

A more detailed examination suggests that the mixture response and underlying sand–rubber interaction mechanisms depend on (i) the volume fraction of the components, and (ii) the ratio between the sand grain size $D_s$ and rubber grain size $D_r$. Prior studies have explored a range of $D_r/D_s$ values: $D_r/D_s \approx 0.25$ (Lee et al. 2007), $D_r/D_s \approx 0.8–1.1$ (Yanagida et al. 2008).
et al. 2002; Pamukcu and Akbulut 2006), \( D_r/D_s \approx 4 \) (Zheng-Yi and Sutter 2000), \( D_r/D_s \approx 10 \) (Youwai and Bergado 2003; Ghazavi 2004), \( D_r/D_s \approx 20 \) (Ahmed and Lovell 1993; Masad et al. 1996; Yang et al. 2002), \( D_r/D_s \approx 100 \) (Humphrey et al. 1993; Lee et al. 1999), and \( D_r/D_s > > 100 \) (Edil and Bosscher 1994; Foose et al. 1996; Gebhardt 1997; Zornberg et al. 2004). When the particle size ratio exceeds \( D_r/D_s > 6 \), size effects are considered to be negligible (Youwai and Bergado 2003).

The purpose of this study is to explore the roles of large soft inclusions \( D_r/D_s \approx 10 \) in modifying small-strain and zero-lateral strain stiffness of granular mixtures, and to identify underlying particle-level mechanisms at different volume fractions. Then, we compare the behavior of these mixtures (\( D_r/D_s > > 1 \)) with previously published results for mixtures where \( D_r/D_s = 0.25 \) (Lee et al. 2007).

**Experimental study: materials and devices**

Ottawa 50/70 sand (mean grain diameter \( D_{50} = 0.35 \) mm, specific gravity \( G_s = 2.65 \)) and rubber chips (\( D_{50} = 3.5 \) mm, \( G_s = 1.14 \)) are used in this study; the particle size ratio is \( D_r/D_s \approx 10 \). Figure 1 shows the particle size distribution of each material. Rubber particles are angular, while Ottawa sand particles are subrounded. These and other significant differences between sand and rubber particles are summarized in Table 1.

Let us define the volume fraction of rubber particles \( V_{rubber} \) as the ratio between the volume of rubber and the total volume of solids. We prepared mixtures at the following volume fractions of rubber particles: \( V_{rubber} = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, \) and \( 1.0 \). Homogeneous mixtures cannot be attained for \( V_{rubber} = 0.8 \) or \( 0.9 \) because the bulk volume of sand is insufficient to fill the pore space in the rubber skeleton, and sand particles fall by gravity through the porous network (Fig. 2).

Segregation is an inherent difficulty in granular mixtures. In sand–rubber mixtures, segregation is triggered by differences in size, density, stiffness, and shape characteristics. Segregation needs to be considered, especially in mixtures with a large volume fraction of rubber (Edil and Bosscher 1994). We prevented segregation in these specimens by minimizing any vibration and avoiding granular flow during specimen preparation.

Rubber–sand mixtures are tested in a modified oedometer cell (internal diameter 100 mm; specimen height from ~50 mm). The cell is instrumented with bender elements for the simultaneous measurement of shear wave velocity and zero-lateral strain stiffness. Bender elements are mounted on the top cap and the bottom plate of the cell. The input signal fed to the bender element in the bottom plate is a 10 V step signal. Received signals are sampled at 500 kHz. The stacking of 100 signals is used to increase the signal-to-noise ratio before signals are stored in the computer. Figure 3 shows a schematic diagram of the modified oedometer cell and peripheral electronics.

The general test procedure follows that of the conven-
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**Table 1.** Properties of rubber from pneumatic tires and natural quartz sand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Used material properties</th>
<th>Recycled rubber</th>
<th>Quartz sand (Ottawa 50/70 sand)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>1.14*</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean grain diameter, ( D_{50} ) (mm)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity</td>
<td>~0.2</td>
<td>0.9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundness</td>
<td>~0.6</td>
<td>0.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum void ratio, ( e_{max} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.85†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum void ratio, ( e_{min} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.50†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density (kN/m³)</td>
<td>5.98 ‡</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (kPa)‡</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>( 5.9 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear modulus (kPa)‡</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>( 2.4 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk modulus (kPa)‡</td>
<td>( 0.2 \times 10^7 )</td>
<td>( 3.7 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio‡</td>
<td>~0.50</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* by ASTM D854.
† Data from Lee et al. (2007).
‡ Data from Walter and Clark (1981).
§ at \( \sigma'_v = 10 \) kPa.
tional consolidation test. The vertical stress is applied in stages: 10, 19, 36, 70, 140, 278, 556, 833, and 1111 kPa; the reverse sequence is followed during unloading. Some mixtures show clear time-dependent deformation. Thus, the final deformation at each loading step is measured when the strain rate becomes negligible, typically within 2 min after load application.

**Experimental results**

Mixture characteristics, load-deformation response, and stiffness are reported for all tested mixtures in this section.

**Mass density**

Figures 4a and 4b show measured porosity and mass density versus the volume fraction of rubber particles \( V_{\text{rubber}} \). Values are shown at vertical effective stresses of \( \sigma'_v = 10 \text{ kPa} \) and \( 1.1 \text{ MPa} \). In both cases, the minimum porosity is reached at \( V_{\text{rubber}} \approx 0.6 \). The analytical estimation plotted in the same figure is derived under the following assumptions (Youd 1973; Guyon et al. 1987; German 1989): (i) voids between the large rubber particles are large enough to allow for the random packing of small sand particles (note: near-boundary layering affects packing); and (ii) at 10 kPa, rubber particles pack with a void ratio \( \varepsilon_{\text{rubber}} = 0.94 \) while sand packs with a void ratio \( \varepsilon_{\text{sand}} = 0.73 \) (experimentally obtained values). The resulting expressions for the porosity, \( n \), of mixtures follow:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[1]} \quad n_{\text{mixture}} &= \frac{V_{\text{sand}}\varepsilon_{\text{sand}}}{1 + V_{\text{sand}}\varepsilon_{\text{sand}}} \quad \text{for} \quad V_{\text{sand}}\varepsilon_{\text{sand}} \geq (V_{\text{rubber}}\varepsilon_{\text{rubber}} - V_{\text{sand}}) \\
\text{[2]} \quad n_{\text{mixture}} &= \frac{V_{\text{rubber}}\varepsilon_{\text{rubber}} - V_{\text{sand}}}{1 + V_{\text{rubber}}\varepsilon_{\text{rubber}} - V_{\text{sand}}} \quad \text{for} \quad V_{\text{sand}}\varepsilon_{\text{sand}} \leq (V_{\text{rubber}}\varepsilon_{\text{rubber}} - V_{\text{sand}})
\end{align*}
\]

These analytical solutions for the porosity of mixtures do not consider the deformation of rubber particles and grain repacking at high pressure. Figure 4c shows the ratio between densities measured at \( \sigma'_v = 1.1 \text{ MPa} \) and \( \sigma'_v = 10 \text{ kPa} \).
versus the volume fraction of rubber particles. The ratio increases significantly when the rubber volume fraction exceeds $V_{\text{rubber}} > 0.5$.

**Zero-lateral strain compressibility and swell**

Figure 5 shows the oedometric stress–strain response for all mixtures. While side friction is expected to lessen deformation (specimen diameter-to-height ratio $\geq 2$), trends clearly show increase in compressibility and swell with increasing $V_{\text{rubber}}$. Figure 6 illustrates the evolution of the constrained modulus, $M = \Delta \sigma_v / \Delta \varepsilon_z$ computed between two successive loading stages during loading for all mixtures. The observed linear trends in log–log scale suggest a semi-empirical power function

$$[3] \quad M = \left( \frac{\sigma_v}{1 \text{ kPa}} \right)^m$$

where $M_1$ is the constrained modulus at $\sigma_v = 1$ kPa, and $m$ captures the stress sensitivity of the mixture stiffness. The parameters $M_1$ and $m$ are plotted against the volume fraction of rubber in Fig. 6a. The data show that when $V_{\text{rubber}} \leq 0.2$, the mixture supports the load mainly through the sand skeleton. The increased rubber-to-rubber interaction gradually reduces the effective mixture stiffness as the volume fraction of rubber particles increases, especially when $V_{\text{rubber}} \geq 0.5$. When $V_{\text{rubber}} \geq 0.6$, most of the applied load is transferred through rubber-to-rubber contacts, and the effective constrained modulus $M_1$ follows the Russ lower bound (i.e., harmonic mean), which is very close to the Hashin–Shtrikman lower bound (bounds in Mavko et al. 1998).

Swelling indices, $C_s = -\Delta (\log \sigma_v^e) / \Delta \varepsilon_z$ (where $\varepsilon_z$ is vertical strain) are computed from $e - \log \sigma_v^e$ data at each unloading stage, and are plotted in Fig. 7. The maximum rebound and $C_s$ values occur at about $\sigma_v = 100$ kPa for mixtures with $V_{\text{rubber}} \geq 0.3$. The underlying mechanism for this peak appears to be related to the evolution of coefficient of earth pressure at rest $K_o$ in mixtures and the mobilization of wall friction in these specimens.

**Shear wave velocity**

Shear wave signals recorded for the $V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.1$ and 0.7 specimens during loading and unloading are shown in Fig. 8. These records highlight the significant differences in material stiffness and stress sensitivity.

Figure 9 illustrates the evolution in shear-wave velocity with the applied vertical stress for all mixtures during loading. The measured velocities are replotted in Fig. 9b in terms of $V_{\text{rubber}}$. The linear trends in Fig. 9a indicate that the value of $V_s$ can be expressed as a power function of the vertical effective stress $\sigma_v^e$ (note that the mean stress in the polarization plane $\sigma_o^e$ would be more appropriate; Hardin and Black 1968; Knox et al. 1982; Santamarina et al. 2001) as follows:
where $a$ is the reference shear wave velocity at 1 kPa, and $b$ captures the stress sensitivity of $V_s$. The $a$ factor and $b$ exponent are plotted against $V_{rubber}$ in Fig. 10. Contrary to the constrained modulus, the shear wave velocity does not decrease monotonically with the volume fraction of rubber. Instead, the maximum shear wave velocity is observed in the $V_{rubber} = 0.2$ mixture. The shear wave velocity decreases significantly when the rubber volume fraction exceeds $V_{rubber} > 0.4$. These nonmonotonic trends suggest complex internal interactions. A detailed analysis follows.

**Numerical modeling of sand–rubber mixtures**

The experimentally observed nonlinear response of sand–rubber mixtures is further studied through numerical simulations. First, two systems are explored to gain further insight into mixture behavior. Then, sand–rubber mixtures are modeled.

**Random mixtures of linear-elastic materials: quasi-static loading**

Numerical finite element simulations are conducted to estimate the mechanical behavior of two-phase mixtures of linear elastic materials. The $100 \times 100$ four-node element mesh represents a plane-strain slice of a prismatic body. Each element is randomly assigned as either a stiff material ($E = 1000$ kPa, Poisson’s ratio $v = 0.30$) or a soft material ($E = 10$ kPa, $v = 0.49$) to satisfy presellected volume fractions. The body is subjected to vertical loading under zero-lateral strain using a rigid cap and bottom plate. Figure 11 shows the normalized effective constrained modulus versus the volume fraction of the soft
material $V_{\text{soft}}$. Upper and lower Hashin–Shtrikman’s bounds are shown for reference. The upper bound assumes that the stiff material wraps around the soft material; therefore, the stiff material properties are more important to assess the global mechanical behavior. The opposite is true for the lower bound. The effective constrained modulus determined from the numerical simulations is close to the upper bound when $V_{\text{soft}} \leq 0.3$, but it approaches the lower bound when $V_{\text{soft}} \geq 0.7$.

**Nonlinear sand with linear elastic rubber: quasi-static loading**

The goal of the next study is to understand the nonlinear interaction between a rubber inclusion and the sand matrix. The sand is modeled as a hypoelastic material by fitting the experimentally measured evolution of the constrained modulus in the oedometer cell: $M = a e^{b \varepsilon'} \approx 8.9 e^{0.1 \varepsilon'}$ (in MPa and $R^2 = 0.97$). Poisson’s ratio is assumed to be $\nu = 0.2$. On the other hand, rubber is modeled as an isotropic linear elastic material ($E = 1020$ kPa, $\nu = 0.49$; see Table 1).

A four-node 100 $\times$ 100 plane-strain element mesh is used to study the deformation of a single cylindrical rubber particle in the sand–host medium subjected to vertical loading under zero-lateral strain conditions (Fig. 12a). The study is repeated for different sized inclusions $D_{\text{rubber}}/D_{\text{spec}}$ = 0.36 ($V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.1$), 0.51 ($V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.2$), and 0.62 ($V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.3$). Results in Fig. 12 show that the soft rubber inclusion promotes vertical and horizontal stress concentration on the equatorial plane around the inclusion. Figure 13 shows measured and predicted $\epsilon - \log \sigma'$ results for different mixtures with low $V_{\text{rubber}}$. Note that the numerical simulation presumes no rubber-to-rubber interaction (this assumption loses validity when $V_{\text{rubber}} \geq 0.3$). In these simulations, the equivalent quasi-static constrained modulus decreases monotonically as $V_{\text{rubber}}$ increases.

**Shear wave propagation in mixtures (short wavelength case)**

While the presence of rubber always causes a decrease in the quasi-static constrained modulus, experimental results show that shear waves propagate faster in mixtures $V_{\text{rubber}} \approx 0.2$ than in the pure sand at all stress levels (Fig. 9). Numerical simulations are conducted to identify the underlying causal mechanisms. The simulated medium is described in Fig. 14 (mesh similar to Fig. 12a, ABAQUS). A single cylindrical rubber particle is located at the center of the host medium, and a plane shear wave is initiated from the top of the mesh. Short wavelength wave propagation data are gathered for (i) a homogeneous medium without inclusions, (ii) a homogenous elastic host medium with a soft inclusion, and (iii) a host medium with an inclusion, where the local stiffness of the host medium resembles the stress-dependent sand response. In the last case, the local values of the small-strain stiffness are calculated from the previous quasi-static loading simulations with the nonlinear material model (Figs. 12 and 13). The study is conducted to represent the mixture with $V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.2$.

Figure 15 shows time series at different locations. Differences in travel times and shadow effects are clearly seen (typical diffraction healing develops behind the inclusion – Wielandt 1987; Potts and Santamarina 1993). Obviously, travel times in the elastic medium with inclusion are longer than in the homogeneous medium without the inclusion. However, travel times in the stress-dependent medium are shorter outside the shadow area.

**Wavelength and propagation modes**

The wavelength $\lambda$ of propagating perturbations must be considered during data analysis. In general, when the wavelength is much greater than the rubber particle size, $\lambda \gg D_r$, the propagation corresponds to an equivalent continuum with stiffness $G_{\text{eff}}$. However, when $\lambda \approx D_r$, propagation must be analyzed as ballistic propagation in heterogeneous media. The central frequency in recorded shear wave signals ranges from $f = 4$ kHz at low confinement ($\sigma'_h = 10$ kPa) to $f = 21$ kHz at high confinement ($\sigma'_h = 1.1$ MPa). The wavelength $\lambda$ is estimated from velocity $V_s$ and frequency $f$ data $\lambda = V_s / f$. Estimated values range from $\lambda = 7$ mm to $\lambda = 55$ mm, that is $\lambda/D_r = 2-15$.

Figure 16 shows the ratio $\lambda/D_r$ computed for the experimental results. The wavelength to size ratio $\lambda/D_r$ decreases with the increase in the applied stress $\sigma'_h$, and it increases.
with the increase in the volume fraction of rubber $V_{\text{rubber}}$. The close proximity of rubber particles in mixtures with high $V_{\text{rubber}}$ produces a low-pass filtering effect, and only long wavelengths are detected (Brillouin effect; Santamarina et al. 2001).

As $\lambda$ approaches the inter rubber–particle spacing, the travel path becomes tortuous, yet the increase in local stiffness by mean stress concentration ($\sigma'_v + \sigma'_h$) results in faster propagation in mixtures with $V_{\text{rubber}} \approx 0.2$ than in pure sand. Eventually, tortuosity prevails in mixtures with higher $V_{\text{rubber}}$.

**Discussion: mixtures with small and large $D_r/D_s$ ratios**

Figure 17 sketches particle-level phenomena in rubber–sand interaction for particle size ratios $D_r/D_s \gg 1$ and $D_r/D_s \ll 1$, at various volume fractions of rubber $V_{\text{rubber}}$. Distinct fabric, particle level phenomena, and macroscale response are recognized.

In the case of $D_r/D_s \ll 1$ mixtures, rubber particles tend to fill the voids between sand particles in mixtures with low $V_{\text{rubber}}$ but they eventually separate sand particles as $V_{\text{rubber}}$ increases (Lee et al. 2007). Mixtures with intermediate $V_{\text{rubber}}$ experience the development of additional sand-to-sand contacts as confinement increases, so that these “transition mixtures” behave rubber-like at low confinement and sand-like at high confinement. This transition is confirmed by wave propagation studies (Lee et al. 2007 report the highest value of the $b$-exponent in $G_{\text{max}} = A(\sigma'_v/kPa)^b$ for $V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.4$, in $D_r/D_s = 0.25$ mixtures).

In the case of $D_r/D_s \gg 1$ mixtures, rubber particles float within the sand skeleton in mixtures with low $V_{\text{rubber}}$. Intermediate mixtures ($V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.4$ and 0.5) exhibit consistent behavior at all stress levels, and there is no transition from rubber-like to sand-like response with increasing confinement. However, the rubber particles are squeezed, the mean stress in the sand increases around the equatorial plane of the rubber particles (arching in the vertical direction plus increased lateral confinement associated to rubber incompressibility), and the shear wave velocity is highest for $V_{\text{rubber}} = 0.1$ to 0.2 (Figs. 12 and 15).
Summary and conclusions

Experimental and numerical results for mixtures made of small sand grains and large rubber chips \((D_r/D_s \approx 10)\) show the following:

1. The high contrast in particle size, shape, and mass density in typical sand–rubber mixtures causes segregation, particularly when the volume fraction of rubber particles exceeds \(V_{rubber} > 0.7\).

2. The sand skeleton controls the behavior for \(V_{rubber} < 0.3\), while the rubber skeleton prevails at \(V_{rubber} \geq 0.6\). For intermediate mixtures, large rubber particles tend to be squeezed at high confinement and fill the interfacial voids; no significant increase in sand-to-sand coordination is anticipated.

3. The quasi-static compressibility in all mixtures is a power function of the effective stress.

4. The effective stiffness of random binary mixtures of linear elastic continua decreases monotonically with volume fraction of the soft material. This is not the case in binary mixtures of soft and stiff granular materials. The constrained modulus is highest in the pure sand specimen (i.e., \(V_{rubber} = 0\)), and experiences little change for \(V_{rubber} = 0.1\) and \(0.2\). On the other hand, short wavelength propagation \((\lambda/D_r \approx 2\sim10)\) shows higher propagation velocity in mixtures with \(V_{rubber} = 0.1\) and \(0.2\) than in the pure sand specimen. This phenomenon is the result of high mean-stress in the sand around the equatorial plane of soft particles due to soil arching around the deformable rubber particles (increasing vertical stress) and incompressible rubber deformation (increasing horizontal stress).

5. High frequency wave propagation may bias the interpretation of the small-strain quasi-static stiffness in heterogeneous sand–rubber mixtures. Therefore, the relative size between wavelength and internal scale must be considered in data interpretation.

These observations provide the foundations for optimal engineering design of heterogeneous granular materials made of stiff and soft particles, such as mineral aggregates mixed with recycled tire chips. Both numerical and experimental results show that the mechanical response of sand–rubber mixtures depends on \((i)\) the volume fraction of rubber \(V_{rubber}\) and \((ii)\) the relative grain size \(D_r/D_s\). In particular, there are clear differences in fabric, particle-level mechanisms, and macroscale behavior between sand–rubber mix-
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**Fig. 15.** Numerical simulation of shear wave propagation in \(V_{rubber} = 0.2\) sand–rubber mixture. Time series. The vertical straight line indicates the travel time in a homogeneous medium. (a) \(V_s(sand) = \text{constant}\). (b) \(V_s(sand) = \alpha(\sigma/\text{kPa})\).

**Fig. 16.** Wavelength of received shear waves normalized by the diameter of large rubber particles versus applied vertical stress.
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tures made with small rubber particles ($D_r/D_s \ll 1$) and those made with large rubber particles ($D_r/D_s \gg 1$).
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